



Security and rule of law

| Organisation | Date | Reporting Period |
|--------------|------|------------------|
| | | |

| Activity | | 2014 | Implemented by | | Result Area | Gender marker |
|----------|------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------|
| Number | Name | Actual expenditure | Name Organisation | channel | | significant/principal |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Result Area 1 | Human Security | | | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| <p>Result Question 1a: To what extent have physical security and freedom from fear as experienced by men and women from all social groups improved? (outcome, country-level)</p> <p>Sub-goals:</p> <p>1.1 All kinds of violent acts against citizens, including sexual violence, and other physical security threats are reduced</p> <p>1.2 Institutions responsible for maintaining security perform their tasks effectively, accountably and in better coordination, responding to the needs of citizens</p> <p>1.3 Communities and civil society contribute to human security and a culture of non-violence, independently and in coordination with responsible institutions</p> <p>1.4 Transnational drivers of conflict such as organized crime and (illicit) flows of finance, arms and conflict commodities are reduced, based on an integrated approach</p> | | | | | | |
| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
| Indicator 1: Homicides per 100.000 population | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Result Question 1b: To what extent have your programmes contributed to these results?

| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 1 | Human Security |
|--|----------------|
| <p>Reasons for result achieved:</p> | |
| <p>Implications for planning:</p> | |

| Result Area 2 | Effective Rule of Law | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| <p>Result Question 2a: To what extent do men and women from all social groups have access to effective and independent justice institutions and have confidence in the rule of law? (outcome, country level)</p> <p>Sub-goals:</p> <p>2.1 Men and women from all social groups are aware of their basic rights and fundamental freedoms and have equal means to access formal and informal justice systems</p> <p>2.2 All justice institutions perform their tasks effectively, accountably and in better coordination, responding to the needs of citizens</p> <p>2.3 The justice system is independent and effectively curbs abuse of power by state institutions, armed actors or powerful private actors</p> <p>2.4 Formal and informal justice institutions effectively address legacies of human rights violations and serious crimes committed during periods of armed conflict or dictatorship, and address root causes that give rise to conflict</p> | | | | | | |
| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
| Indicator 1: Human Rights and Rule of Law | | | | | | |
| Indicator 2: Rule of Law | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Result Question 2b: To what extent have your programs contributed to these results? (output, programme level)

| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 2 | Effective Rule of Law |
|--|-----------------------|
| <p>Reasons for result achieved:</p> | |
| <p>Implications for planning:</p> | |

| Result Area 3 | Inclusive Political Processes | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| <p>Result Question 3a: To what extent can men and women from all social groups participate in and influence political and peace processes? To what extent are peaceful and inclusive mechanisms to resolve and prevent conflict in place?</p> <p>Sub-goals:</p> <p>3.1 Political decision making at all levels is participatory, representative and inclusive of all social groups</p> <p>3.2 Domestic and international actors take responsibility for effective and inclusive mechanisms for peacebuilding and prevention of conflict at different levels, with an active role for women</p> | | | | | | |
| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
| Indicator 1: Factionalized Elites | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Result Question 3b: To what extent have your programs contributed to these results?

| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 3 | Inclusive Political Processes |
|--|-------------------------------|
| <p>Reasons for result achieved:</p> | |
| <p>Implications for planning:</p> | |

| Result Area 4 | Legitimate and Capable Government | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| <p>Result Question 4a: To what extent are government institutions at all levels perceived by citizens as legitimate and accountable?</p> <p>Sub-goals:</p> <p>4.1 Government institutions at all levels implement laws and policies and deliver core tasks in a manner that promotes inclusive and sustainable development</p> <p>4.2 Government institutions at all levels are responsive to needs and views articulated by citizens and civil society and have functioning mechanisms in place for internal and external accountability</p> <p>4.3 Government institutions manage domestic resources and public finance transparently and have functioning mechanisms in place to reduce and prevent corruption</p> | | | | | | |
| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Result Question 4b: To what extent have your programs contributed to these results?

| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 4 | Legitimate and Capable Government |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| <p>Reasons for result achieved:</p> | |
| <p>Implications for planning:</p> | |

| Result Area 5 | Social and Economic Reconstruction | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| <p>Result Question 5a: To what extent have sustainable basic services and employment opportunities – especially for marginalized groups - increased and improved? To what extent do these contribute to a reduction of key conflict-related grievances as perceived by the population?</p> <p>Sub-goals:</p> <p>5.1 Government institutions, (local) civil society and the private sector increase sustainable employment opportunities in a conflict sensitive manner, working towards inclusive development and social cohesion</p> <p>5.2 Governments institutions, (local) civil society and the private sector improve (equitable access to) basic services in a manner that increases legitimacy of institutions and social cohesion</p> <p>5.3 In situations of protracted crisis, national and international actors support vulnerable groups (including refugees, displaced persons and host communities) to enhance their resilience and build sustainable livelihoods</p> | | | | | | |
| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
| Indicator 1: 'public services' | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Result Question 5b: To what extent have your programs contributed to these results?

| | Baseline | Target 2017 | Result 2012 | Result 2013 | Result 2014 | Source |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Assessment of results achieved by NL across the entire Result area 5 | Social and Economic Reconstruction |
|--|------------------------------------|
| <p>Reasons for result achieved:</p> | |
| <p>Implications for planning:</p> | |

